

Guild Policy Development Group Minutes

<u>Present:</u> Reece Patrick Roberts (President, Chair), Adam Goldstone (GOG Member), Jess Small (GOG Member), Holly Battrick (GOG Member), Amber Culley (Disabled Representative Member), Ken Chan (International Representative Member), Fan Xu (International Representative Member), Jess Rutland (LGBTQ Representative Member), Luke Hilton (Open Place Member), Xuexuan Peng (Open Place Member), Izzy Bygrave (Open Place Member), Wenting Zhang (Postgraduate Representative Member), Hermione Peace (Women's Representative Member)

<u>In attendance:</u> Alif Trevathan (Women's Officer), Oli Killeen (Democracy Co-ordinator) (Note Taker), Lucy Gill (Student Voice Manager)

<u>Apologies:</u> Horace Li (International Representative Member), Finn Humphris (Trans Representative Member), Hadia Choudri (Postgraduate Representative Member)

<u>Absent without apologies:</u> Salim Farah (BME Representative Member), Cai Lyons (Postgraduate Representative Member), Nathan Le Blang (Open Place Member), Miao Zhao (Open Place Member)

The President welcomed everyone and the meeting commenced at 18:05

No	DISCUSSION	QUESTIONS ASKED	ACTION
1	Welcome	The chair welcomed all present to the meeting.	Noted
2	Minutes of the last meeting and Matters Arising	The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted and no matters arising were recorded.	Noted
3	'Your Ideas' Submissions	 The Guild should not excuse Anti-Semites – Submission was accepted without amendment. Heating – It was noted that there were some practical difficulties with this as the radiators in each of the rooms were controlled individually, and staff may not have capacity to alter radiators and for them to have an effect before each use. This was not accepted and will go forward for a cross campus vote. Cut the Vice-Chancellor's pay and pay a real living wage – It was noted that this idea is asking for 3 separate changes to happen. It was noted also that a cut in the Vice-Chancellor's salary wouldn't necessarily mean growth in staff wages. The group recommended that the idea be split into 3. There was no unanimous agreement so they will be placed forward for a cross campus vote. Better access to journals for research – Submission was 	Noted

5. Men's Officer in the Guild – This idea prompted significant discussion, it was noted that men are not necessarily a repressed group, for which the other liberation roles exist. However there was acknowledgement of the issues raised in the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
discussion, it was noted that men are not necessarily a repressed group, for which the other liberation roles exist. However there was acknowledgement of the issues raised in the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
discussion, it was noted that men are not necessarily a repressed group, for which the other liberation roles exist. However there was acknowledgement of the issues raised in the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
repressed group, for which the other liberation roles exist. However there was acknowledgement of the issues raised in the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
However there was acknowledgement of the issues raised in the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
the submission about male mental health and the need to work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
work to tackle these. The Group however voted not to take forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
forward the submission and that a full statement would be	
prepared and sent to the proposer with an explanation.	
6. Newsletter of Facebook Group for Guild performances — It	
was noted that the information is already available on the	
Guild website, and there is a board outside Deb Hall which	
can be used to inform students of Guild performances. This	
was not accepted unanimously, and will go forward to a	
cross campus vote.	
7. Student Society Membership Numbers rule change – It was	
noted that a cut of point was required for financial reasons.	
This idea was not accepted unanimously and will go forward	
for a cross campus ballot.	
8. Zebra Crossings – It was noted that this may be something to	
speak to the Council and the University about to see if	
changes could be made. It was not accepted unanimously	
and will be placed forward for a cross campus vote.	
9. Less Plastic on Campus - Submission amended, the Group	
noted that the idea was asking for a number of different	
things and suggested the submission should be separated	
into 5 individual votes. Agreement was not unanimous, so	
each will be taken forward to cross campus vote.	
10. The Guild of Students backs a second referendum on Brexit —	
Submission not accepted unanimously. There was concern	
noted in the Group that the Guild's support for a second	
referendum on Brexit could be divisive. Idea put forward for a	
cross campus vote.	
4 Beliefs and The following Beliefs and Commitments were presented to the Group Note	iea
Commitments for discussion and approval:	
Feminism – Accepted without amendment.	
2. Sexual Harassment – Accepted with the revision of a minor	
typo, and a title change. Commitment to read "Zero	
Tolerance to Sexual Harassment". It was noted that this is now	
also underpinned by the Guild's wider Zero Tolerance Policy.	
3. Gender Neutral Toilets – The Group asked if a minor wording	
change could be made: "it will work to make sure these are	
practical and accessible".	
4. Companies with a military purpose – It was thought that the	
definition of military purpose was too broad, and that an	
outright ban would be counterproductive. The Group asked	
for this to be redrafted for clarity.	
5. Companies damaging the environment – It was thought that	
the definition of environmental damage was too broad, and	
that an outright ban would be counterproductive. The Group	
asked for this to be redrafted for clarity.	
6. Political Engagement – Accepted without amendment.	
7. Anti-Racism; Anti-Fascism – Accepted without amendment.	
7. Ann-racisin, Ann-rascisin - Accepted without differential.	

		8. Student Access to Healthcare – Accepted without	
		amendment.	
		9. Discrimination – Accepted without amendment.	
		10. Jobs and Employability – Accepted without amendment.	
7	Any Other	The chair thanked everyone for their attendance.	Noted
	Business		
		Those present were reminded that applications for roles within the	
		Guild Officer Team 2019/20 were open now and if anyone present	
		wanted to nominate themselves, this should be done before the	
		deadline – noted as Friday 25 th January 2019 at 4pm.	

The Chair closed the meeting at 20:00 Date of next meeting: 7th March - 6pm, Mandela Room – Guild of Students